Technical Peer review

Reviewing each other’s code (paired assignment)

In this assignment you are asked to review parts of each other’s code on various aspects that have been covered in OOD.

**What to do:**

1. Your tutor will pair your group up into pairs of two.
2. Together with your tutor you decide what code base you will assess as a pair (code that you did not develop yourself).
3. You answer the questions below before the final meeting in week 15.
4. In the final meeting in week 15 you present/discuss your answers with the tutor and the other pair.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Student name 1** | Tudor-Stefan Morar | |
| **Student name 2** | Yordan Doykov | |
| **Assessed code base** | Classes: InventoryService.cs, EmployeeService.cs, Employee.cs, Product.cs | |
| **Date** | 05-Jun-22 | |
| **Does the target code apply inheritance to generalize their code where applicable?** | | No |
| If not, where do you foresee possible cases for inheritance?  The presented code does not show any need of inheritance. We cannot find a suitable usage of inheritance | | |
| **Does the target code apply Single responsibility to isolate individual responsibilities?** | | Yes |
| If not, what classes would you propose that split up (elaborate about this)?  Click or tap here to enter text. | | |
| **Does the target code apply the Open-closed principle to allow extension of behaviour without modification of existing classes in places where change/extension is expected?** | | No |
| If not, where do you expect change/extension to happen, and how would you propose to facilitate this?  As a first, the classes should be chnged off of static. Another addition could be the implementation of Interfaces. | | |
| **Does the target code apply the Liskov principle to take benefit of polymorphism?** | | No |
| If not, how can the target code change to communicate in the same way with child objects as you do with parent objects?  The Liskov principle is not applied, due to the lack of inheritance | | |
| **When applicable, what other object-oriented design principles are applied in the target base (e.g. interface segregation, dependency inversion, etc.)?** | | |
| The reviwed codebase does not show traces of interfaces, thus it is not relatable to the last two principles of SOLID. | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Is the target code readable (clear naming convention, conscious use of white spaces, proper tab use (indentation)).** | Yes |
| if not, what could improve?  Click or tap here to enter text. | |
| **Below you have space for any other tips you want to share with the programmer of your target code?** | |
| A better usage of SOLID would be beneficial, as well as removing static classes. | |